Appendix D

QUALIFICATIONS-BASED SELECTION (QBS) FOR CONSULTANT/VENDOR SERVICES REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP) SELECTION CRITERIA

For all Qualifications-Based Selections, the Local Agency selection team will review the information submitted and will select the consultant considered most highly qualified to perform the services based on the proposals. The selected consultant will be asked to prepare a cost proposal. **Cost-related items cannot be considered in the selection process.** Negotiations will be conducted with the consultant selected.

One scoring sheet shall be filled out per RFP received.

Items marked with a "*" are optional. Weighting factors can be applied to each category at the discretion of the Local Agency selection team. Comments should be provided that explain reasoning for selected score.

Rating Description: 5-point scale used with the rating description guidelines listed below.

- 1 RFP did not fulfill the requirement or failed to fully convey work necessary.
- 5 RFP conveyed the information clearly and without question. The proposal exceeded expectations by providing a complete and accurate conveyance of the work to be completed, value added innovations, specific examples of applicable past work, etc.

RFP Specific Information:

Prime Consultant/Vendor:	
☐ Design Services	☐ Construction Engineering Services ☐ Testing Services
JN:	Description:
9	: How well does the RFP describe understanding of service intended be based on the scope of services. Comments:
□ 3 □ 4	
Category Weighting Factor:	x Score: = Category Total:
	w well did the RFP provide information related to organization chart, project team including the roles of all key personnel? Comments:
Category Weighting Factor:	x Score: = Category Total:

references offered by the vendor	r.		
□ 1	Comments:		
□ 2			
<u> </u>			
<u> </u>			
Category Weighting Factor:	x Score:	= Category Total:	
- •	on of selected manager? The	does the RFP outline this service person managing this service should ces.	
□ 1	Comments:		
2			
\Box 3			
□ 4			
Category Weighting Factor	x Score:	= Category Total:	
the distance from the consultant 0 (Less than 10%) 1 (10-24%) 2 (25-49%) 3 (50-79%) 4 (10-94%) 5 (95-100%)	office to the on-site inspection Comments:	then location should be scored using on or survey activity): = Category Total:	
Category weighting Factor:	x Score:	= Category 10tal:	
**Disadvantaged Business End DBE participation? If yes:	terprise (DBE) Participation	n: Does the RFP meet the criteria for	
Category Weighting Factor:	x Score:	= Category Total:	
**Local Presence and DBE Participal	tion can only account for a maximu	m of 10% combined of the total RFP score.	
*Presentation/Other: General other RFP evaluation criteria.	evaluation of quality of pre	sentation and technical proposal or	
□ 0	Comments:		
□ 1			
□ 1 □ 2 □ 3			
□ 3			
4	-		
Category Weighting Factor:	y Score:	= Category Total:	

Past Performance: Take into consideration of past performance of the consultant/vendor by the Local Agency, evaluations done by the Local Agency for similar scope of services, and/or any

DED	Total Sa	oro (All	Categories):	
KFP	1 otal Sc	ore (All	Categories):	

Selection Team Name:	Signature:	Date:
Selection Team Name:	Signature:	Date:
Selection Team Name:	Signature:	Date:
Selection Team Name:	Signature:	Date: